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Abstract: A new synziphosurine, Anderella parva gen. et sp. nov., extends the known range of this group from the Silurian to the Carboniferous and is the youngest known so far from the fossil record. Previously the youngest synziphosurine, Kasibelinurus, was from the Devonian of North America. Anderella parva has a semi-oval carapace with pointed genal regions, nine freely articulating opisthosomal segments and a long styliform tail spine. It is the third xiphosuran genus to be described from the Bear Gulch Limestone and its discovery highlights this deposit as containing an unusually diverse xiphosuran biota. It is also the only known locality where synziphosurines occur alongside the more derived xiphosurids. Xiphosurans reached their greatest diversity in the Carboniferous when the xiphosurids began to occupy brackish and freshwater habitats and became dominant over the synziphosurines. The discovery of the only known Carboniferous synziphosurine in marine sediments may indicate their inability to exploit these same environments.
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THE Carboniferous Bear Gulch Limestone of Montana, USA, is perhaps best known for its diverse chondrichthyan fauna (Lund and Poplin 1999) but it also yields a rich invertebrate fauna of worms, crustaceans and chelicerates (e.g. Schram and Horner 1978; Schram 1979a, b). To date, species of two genera of xiphosurids have been found at Bear Gulch: Paleolimulus longispinus and Euproops sp. (Schram 1979a). P. longispinus is known from over 15 specimens, while Euproops is only known from one identifiable specimen and two possible fragments (Schram 1979a). Xiphosurans are therefore rare elements of the Bear Gulch biota. Here we describe a new xiphosuran from this locality. It is the first synziphosurine from the Bear Gulch Limestone and extends the known range of synziphosurines from the Lower Silurian (Llandovery) to the Lower Carboniferous (Namurian).

GEOLOGICAL SETTING AND ASSOCIATED FAUNA

The Bear Gulch Limestone is Namurian E3,b in age (corresponding to the Late Mississippian or Serpukhovian of American usage) and occurs as one of a series of lenses within the Heath Formation of central Montana (Grogan and Lund 2002). The fossiliferous beds crop out over approximately 85 km² with a maximum thickness of 30 m at the north-eastern margin (Grogan and Lund 2002) and have been described as lithographic limestones, or Plattenkalken, similar in nature to those of Solnhofen, Germany (Feldman et al. 1994). The beds were deposited during a marine transgression when episodic seismic activity, resulting in subsidence of the basin and subsequent infilling, produced lenses of carbonate material (Feldman et al. 1994; Grogan and Lund 2002, fig. 4). The sediments are composed of fine lime silts and clays and accumulated as rhythmically alternating thick, dark coloured, massive to graded beds and thin, lighter coloured laminar beds with a higher clay content (Hagadorn 2002). This bedding structure, often described as ‘Flinz and Fäule’, is typified by that described at Solnhofen (Barthel et al. 1990). The thicker limestone units (Flinz) show evidence of deep-sea turbidity currents, while the thinner laminar beds (Fäule) were deposited during quieter, seasonal currents (Feldman et al. 1994).

Careful and in-depth sampling has revealed a diverse and exceptionally preserved fauna at Bear Gulch (Grogan and Lund 2002). Arthropods are represented by a variety of shrimps (Schram and Horner 1978), two genera of phyllocarids, a concavicarid, xiphosurans and ostracodes. GastroPods are quite rare but several bivalve genera are present. Coiled cephalopods are abundant and often show crop contents, while straight cephalopods are present in smaller numbers. Polychaetes, and other worms, are frequently...
found and may preserve soft parts (Schram 1979b). The cnidarian Sphenothallus (Van Iten et al. 1992; Peng et al. 2005) is fairly common and sponges are moderately abundant, with Arboraspongia forming dense thickets in some parts of the basin (Rigby 1979). Phosphatic and calcareous brachiopods (Lutz-Garihan 1979), a sea salp (Tunicata: Thaliacea) and the enigmatic Typhloesus (Conway Morris 1990) are also present. The vertebrate diversity is dominated by Chondrichthyes including rare flying sharks (Iniapterygii) (Lund and Grogan 2005). Other fish include fusiform and deep-bodied paleoniscoids, coelacanths and a lamprey (Lund and Lund 1985; Lund and Poplin 1999). Plants are limited to rafted or blown-in land forms and a variety of algae including filamentous types, which are widespread in some sections of the limestone.

The Bear Gulch Limestone was deposited in a tropical marine bay and is characterized by up to five facies (Grogan and Lund 2002). The new specimens described here were found within the filamentous algal facies (R. Lund, pers. comm. 2005), which is typified by abundant algal filaments, small productid brachiopods and Sphenothallus (Grogan and Lund 2002).

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Specimens are deposited at the Carnegie Museum, Pittsburgh, under catalogue numbers CM54200 (holotype, Text-fig. 1A–B) and CM54201 (paratype, Text-fig. 1C–D). An additional specimen (undescribed) is in the private study collection of the authors (SM). Counterparts of the two described specimens were not recovered. Photographs were taken with a Nikon D1H digital SLR and camera lucida drawings were prepared using a WILD stereomicroscope with a drawing tube attachment.

Systematic terminology follows Anderson and Selden (1997). While the term ‘synziphosurine’ does not define a natural group, it is used here to distinguish between the Xiphosurida and their stem-group that lack a thoracetron (Anderson and Selden 1997).

**Abbreviations.** ap, appendage; axn, axial node; cl, cardiac lobe; cm, carapace margin; f, furrow; mr, median ridge; opr, ophthalmic ridge; pcm, posterior carapace margin; r, ridge; tsp, tail spine; w, wrinkle; numbers 1–9 indicate opisthosomal segments.

**PRESERVATION**

The quality of fossil preservation within Bear Gulch sediments is extremely variable. It ranges from disarticulated elements and scales to complete preservation of cartilaginous, soft-bodied and lightly sclerotized animals (Grogan and Lund 2002). In some vertebrates, skin outlines, pigmentation patterns, reproductive organs, internal organs and traces of the circulatory system have been reported (Grogan and Lund 1995). Invertebrates are preserved as external and internal moulds, casts, organic remains and as colour differences (Hagadorn 2002); soft-tissue preservation is common and in some cases the jaw apparatus, denticles, parapodia, acicula and gut contents of polychaetes survive (Hagadorn 2002).

Both new specimens described here are preserved on individual slabs and are not immediately associated with any other plants or animals, but CM54200 has a specimen of the branching sponge Arboraspongia on the underside of the slab. CM54201 is preserved in an orange-tan limestone while CM54200 is in a light grey limestone. Both specimens are preserved as dorsoventrally flattened thin films that are slightly darker than the surrounding matrix. CM54200 is an internal mould with only a small amount of relief in the carapace whereas CM54201 is an external mould that preserves slightly more relief; the opisthosoma and tail spine in both specimens show little relief. Both specimens are complete apart from lacking the full length of the tail spine.

**SYSTEMATIC PALAEONTOLOGY**

Phylum CHELICERATA Heymons, 1901
Class XIPHOSURA Latreille, 1802

Genus Anderella gen. nov.

Derivation of name. After Lyall Anderson, in recognition of his contribution to the systematic description of fossil xiphosuran taxa and their phylogenetic relationships.

Type and only known species. Anderella parva sp. nov.

Diagnosis. Semi-oval carapace with pointed genal regions lacking true genal spines; nine freely articulating opisthosomal segments, six preabdominal and three postabdominal; first segment not reduced to a microtergite and second not hypertrophied; preabdominal segments with blunt pleura, postabdominal segments lacking pleura; axial nodes on all opisthosomal segments; tail spine styleform with median ridge.

Remarks. Anderella is not assigned to a family here pending a revision of the synziphosurines.

Anderella parva sp. nov.

Text-figure 1; Table 1

Derivation of name. Latin, parva, young.
Types. CM54200 (holotype), CM54201 (paratype).

Occurrence. Carboniferous, Namurian E2b (= Serpukovian), Bear Gulch Limestone, central Montana, USA.

Diagnosis. As for genus.

Description. The carapace is approximately 55 per cent of the total length (sag.) of the organism, excluding the tail spine. It is
semi-oval, lacks a marginal ridge and becomes acute at the genal region, but lacks true genal spines. It is most completely preserved in the holotype. The carapace of the paratype appears to be less rounded anteriorly because the matrix obscures this region. The ophthalmal ridge runs parallel to the margin of the carapace, becoming less pronounced anteriorly. No evidence for eyes or lensar structures can be found associated with the ophthalmal ridge. The topography of the cardiac lobe region is unclear although a region that may correspond to the edge of the cardiac lobe is present in the paratype (Text-fig. 1D, cl?). The interophthalmic area has a pattern of ridges and furrows; five distinct ridges are present on the right side of the holotype (Text-fig. 1B, r). Traces of appendages, indicated by raised and/or darker coloured regions, are also present but their morphology is unclear. In some cases possible segment boundaries can be determined (Text-fig. 1B). On the upper left and lower right side of the holotype a possible appendage can be seen to extend beyond the carapace margin (Text-fig. 1B, ap?). The posterior margin of the carapace is straight.

Nine opisthosomal segments are present, divided into six in the preabdomen and three in the postabdomen. Segments are discrete and separated by matrix. Preabdominal segments are divided into wide (tr.) axial regions bearing axial nodes and pleura. Pleura are rectangular and have blunt terminations. The first segment is not a microtergite but is almost as wide as the posterior margin of the carapace and the following opisthosomal segments narrow in width posteriorly towards the postabdomen. Postabdominal segments lack pleura and are narrower (tr.), yet longer (sag.) than those of the preabdomen. The postabdomen is best preserved in the paratype where it occupies 45 per cent of the total length (sag.) of the opisthosoma.

The original length of the tail spine is not exposed in the holotype or preserved in the paratype, but it is clear from the latter that it is long and styliform (Text-fig. 1, tsp). A pronounced median ridge on the tail spine is evident in both specimens.

Remarks. The disarticulated nature of the opisthosomal segments is a function of the lack of fusion together in life. As in other synziphosurine fossils, the relatively thin arthrodial membrane decomposed more rapidly than the tergites leading to separation of the elements and introduction of sediment between them. Unfused opisthosomal tergites are a characteristic of the synziphosurines, in contrast to the development of a thoracotron (fused tergites) in the Xiphosurida (Anderson and Selden 1997).

Synziphosurine relationships are often difficult to resolve because of the incomplete nature of many of the fossils and the rarity of specimens. The frequent compression of their non-mineralized cuticle when preserved also makes it difficult to identify characters such as the presence or absence of eyes, the topography of the carapace and the morphology of opisthosomal segments. Exceptions include Weinbergina opitzi Richter and Richter, 1929 from the Hunsruck Slate of Germany, which preserves fine details of the appendages in pyrite (Stürmer and Bergström 1981; Moore et al. 2005a) and both Legrandella lombardii Eldredge, 1974 from Bolivia and Bunodes lunula Eichwald, 1854 from Estonia, which are preserved in three dimensions.

The most basal synziphosurines have 10 or 11 freely articulating opisthosomal segments while A. parva resembles the most derived synziphosurine, Kasibelinurus Pickett, 1993, in having nine (Anderson and Selden 1997). Kasibelinurus is the only known Late Devonian synziphosurine since Protolimulus criensis Packard, 1886 was shown to be a trace fossil rather than a body fossil (Babcock et al. 1995). Kasibelinurus resembles the Carboniferous taxa Bellinurus and Euproops in having, among other characters, an M-shaped configuration of the ophthalmic ridge and a carapace that is much wider (tr.) than it is long (sag.). These characters are absent in A. parva and in this respect it has a less derived morphology than Kasibelinurus. The wide axial regions of the opisthosomal segments, rectangular pleura and distinct division into a pre- and postabdomen bear most resemblance to Venustulus waukeshaensis Moore, in Moore et al. 2005b, which is known from the Lower Silurian (Llandover) Waukesha Lagerstätte, Wisconsin, USA. Anderella parva lacks a hypertrophied second opisthosomal segment that is a characteristic of the bunodids (B. lunula, Bembicosoma pomp hicus, Limuloides limuloides and Pasternakevia podolica) and differs from the pseudoniscids (Cyamocephalus loganensis and Pseudoniscus aculeatus) in lacking a procurred posterior carapace margin and acutely pointed pleura. The presence of a clear division between pre- and postabdomen in A. parva is unlike that of the pseudoniscids and W. opitzi.

In summary, apart from the presence of nine opisthosomal segments and axial nodes in A. parva this taxon is similar to the oldest-known synziphosurine, V. waukeshaensis. However, the number of opisthosomal segments has previously been a key taxonomic feature of synziphosurines (Anderson 1996) and in this respect Kasibelinurus is the most similar. Pending a phylogenetic analysis of the

### Table 1. Measurements of holotype (h) and paratype (in mm).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalogue no.</th>
<th>Carapace length</th>
<th>Maximum carapace width (tr.)</th>
<th>Total length, excluding tail spine</th>
<th>Maximum preserved tail spine length</th>
<th>Width of ninth opisthosomal segment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CM54200 (h)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM54201</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**DISCUSSION**

More genera of xiphosurans are known from the Carboniferous Period than from any other time in Earth history (nine; Text-fig. 2) and it was a key period in their evolution. The earliest xiphosuran with a thoracetron (= Xiphosurida) is *Bellinuroopsis rossicus* Chernyshev, 1933 from the Famennian of Russia (Anderson and Selden 1997), but by the Carboniferous the xiphosurids had become dominant and the synziphosurines are only represented by *A. parva* (Text-fig. 2). During the Early Carboniferous two groups of xiphosurids emerged, the Bellinurina Zittel and Eastman, 1913 and the Limulina Richter and Richter, 1929 (Anderson and Selden 1997). The latter group gave rise to the extant genera *Limulus*, *Carcinoscorpius* and *Tachypleus* (Anderson and Selden 1997).

There was also a shift in habitat preference at the end of the Devonian. Synziphosurines are found almost exclusively in marginal marine sediments, while the xiphosurids are often found in sediments deposited in deltaic or brackish environments. There is evidence from the Upper Carboniferous, Mazon Creek biota of Illinois, USA, that the Limulina and Bellinurina occurred preferentially in different habitats. While the marine Essex biota yields specimens of *Paleolimulus signatus* (Limulina) and rarely *Euproops*, the euproopids (Bellinurina) are the dominant group in the brackish–freshwater Braidwood biota (Schram 1979c). The Bear Gulch Limestone has been described as forming a faunal continuum with the Essex biota (Schram 1979c). At first glance the presence of *Paleolimulus* in both the Bear Gulch and the Essex biota of Mazon Creek localities seems to support this suggestion. However, restudy of *Paleolimulus longispinus* by Anderson (1996) showed that the presence of a distinct opercular segment and six long, fixed spines and five short, moveable spines align these specimens with *Rolfeia* Waterston, 1985 rather than *Paleolimulus*. *Rolfeia* is known from the Tournaisian of Glencartholm, Scotland, and occurs in a marine–brackish depositional environment (Waterston 1985). The discovery of the youngest-known synziphosurine in marine sediments at a time when xiphosurans were diversifying into brackish waters may be significant. This may indicate the inability of synziphosurines to adapt to brackish–freshwater conditions.

The Bear Gulch Limestone contains an unusually diverse xiphosuran biota. Comparable xiphosuran diversity occurs in the Mazon Creek biota, deposits of similar age (Westphalian) in England (Anderson 1994; Anderson et al. 1999), and the Middle Triassic Montral-Alcover biota, Spain (Vía 1987). However, most xiphosuran-bearing localities yield only one or two genera. Bear Gulch is also the only locality where a synziphosurine occurs alongside xiphosurids.

The similarity of *A. parva* to *V. waukeshaensis* is surprising considering the c. 120 myr time gap between them. The discovery of a Carboniferous synziphosurine that is little changed from a Silurian genus may be a reflection of a slow rate of evolution in xiphosurans. Extant xiphosurans are often described as ‘living fossils’, showing morphological conservatism since at least the Late Jurassic (Fisher 1984). An explanation for the
absence of other examples of Carboniferous synziphosurines could be a reduction in their abundance to below the detection limit of the fossil record after the Late Devonian biotic crisis (Wignall and Benton 1999); they would only reappear when numbers had recovered sufficiently. This is the pattern shown by Lazarus taxa (Flessa and Jablonski 1983), but in this case the term does not strictly apply because taxonomic affiliation is unclear. Any discussion of xiphosurian abundance must contain the caveat that they are rare as fossils and their non-biomineralized cuticle requires special preservation conditions. The apparent absence of Anderella-like forms between the Emsian and Namurian (a period of c. 80 myr) is also likely to be a result of taphonomic control.
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